## SARDAR BAHADUR KHAN WOMEN'S UNIVERSITY QUETTA PROJECT DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

## Minutes of the Meeting (Technical Evaluation)

Establishment Of Sardar Bahadur Khan Women's University Sub-Campus Pishin (Package 1,2,3,4)

(Held on January 04<sup>th</sup> 2022 at Conference Hall SBKWU)

The meeting of development committee was held in Ayesha Hall (VC Secretariat) of SBK Women's University Quetta on 04-01-2022 at 11:00 AM regarding Technical Evaluation report of the Tender held on 26-11-2021 for the work "Establishment of SBK Women's University Sub-campus at Pishin Package(I,II,III,IV)" under the project titled "Women's University (SBK) Campuses at Pishin & Khuzdar".

Following agenda items were discussed and decision was taken accordingly.

1. Agenda Item 1. Technical Evaluation Report for the project "Establishment of SBK Women's University Sub-campus at Pishin Package(I,II,III,IV).

The worthy Vice Chancellor (SBKWU) chaired the meeting and Project Director sought approval to officially start the proceedings. Project director informed that tender for the subject project was floated at PPRA website, The University website and in the news paper in which bidders were asked to attend the pre-bid meeting at 10-11-2021 and submit their technical and financial proposals at 19-11-2021. Eight contractors attended the pre-bid meeting. Known questions were provided in black and white by the contractor National logistic cell (NLC) which were then sent to consultants for providing detailed answers. Answers to the questions of contractor were prepared by the consultants and to offer enough time to the contractors to prepare their bids in light of pre-bid meeting, the deadline for submission of bids was extended by one week i.e. on 26-11-2021. Four bidders submitted their technical and financial proposals. Technical proposals were opened while financial proposals were taken into custody unopened which will be opened after completion of technical evaluation, of technically qualified bidders only. The technical proposals of all the bidders were sent to G3 Engineering consultants to evaluate all the bids as per the evaluation criteria. The evaluation criteria specified in the tender documents suggests to evaluate the bids in following four categories as under.

Four bidders submitted the bids as shown in below table.

611

B

SA MARINE TO THE PARTY OF THE P

| Sr. | Works packages | Bidders                              |  |
|-----|----------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| 1   | Package - 01   | M/S Saadullah Khan and Brothers (JV) |  |
|     |                | M/S Rehman Construction Company      |  |
| 2   | Package - 02   | M/S Saadullah Khan and Brothers (JV) |  |
|     |                | M/S Rehman Construction Company      |  |
|     |                | Ittefaq Construction Company         |  |
| 3   | Package - 03   | M/S Saadullah Khan and Brothers (JV) |  |
|     |                | M/S Rehman Construction Company      |  |
|     |                | Rahim Dad & Brothers                 |  |
| 4   | Package - 04   | Farhan Qudoos & Company              |  |

Each bid was evaluated in the respective package and was declared as pass/fail according to the marks obtained. Bidder with 60% and above marks was declared pass and less than 60% was declared fail. The evaluation report of each package is provided in below mentioned table:

| Sr. | Works packages | Bidders   | Status |
|-----|----------------|---|--------|
| 1   | Package – 01   | M/S Saadullah Khan and Brothers (JV)  M/S Rehman Construction Company | PASS   |
| 2/  | Package - 02   | M/S Saadullah Khan and Brothers (JV)                                  | PASS   |

Jan Market Marke

200

A

Mod

|   |              | M/S Rehman Construction Company  Ittefaq Construction Company         | PASS |
|---|--------------|---|------|
| 3 | Package - 03 | M/S Saadullah Khan and Brothers (JV)  M/S Rehman Construction Company | PASS |
|   |              | Rahim Dad & Brothers  | FAIL |
| 4 | Package - 04 | Farhan Qudoos & Company   | PASS |

## Decision

The committee concluded that the explanation given by the project director regarding the evaluation criteria and technical evaluation report is clear enough and satisfactory. Therefore the committee unanimously decided to approve the technical evaluation report worked out by the consultants. The committee also instructed the project director to proceed further as per PPRA rules.

## LIST OF PARTICIPANTS

1. Prof. DR. Sajida Noureen

Vice Chancellor SBKWU

Chairperson

2. Dr. Raheela Manzoor

Registrar SBKWU

Member

6

|    |                                  |                                   |                  | Page 4 of 4   |
|----|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|---------------|
| 3. | Dr. Asma Khalid                  | Director QA&A SBKWU               | Member           | July of M     |
| 4. | Mr. Naveedullah Khan             | Treasurer SBKWU                   | Member           | (2) mm/s      |
| 5. | Engr. Muhammad Akber             | Director T & E SBKWU              | Member           | W 401)22      |
| 6  | Engr. Ashraf Kakar               | Campus Director SBKWU Pishin      | Member           | Main outoller |
| 7  | . Engr. Muhammad Usman           | Project Director Pishin & Khuzdar | Member/Secretary | 04/01/2020    |
| 8  | Engr. Muhammad Afzal Khetran     | Dy. Director T & E SBKWU          | Member           | on Leave      |
| 9  | . Arch. Mamoon Ur Rasheed Mengal | Director P & P BUITEMS            | Member           |               |